



New Mandatory Disclosure Rules: Reportable Transactions & Uncertain Tax Treatments

Les Fabian CPA, CA - Tax Partner

Mandatory Disclosure Rules

- Background & Current Rules
- *BEPS Action 12* & Federal Budget 2021 Proposals
- Amended “Avoidance Transactions”
- Amended “Reportable Transactions”
- Proposed New “Notifiable Transactions”
- Proposed New “Uncertain Tax Treatments”

Background

Mandatory Disclosure Rules

- Lack of timely/relevant info on aggressive tax planning strategies is one of main reported challenges to tax authorities worldwide
- Mandatory disclosure rules allow tax authorities to react quicker to risk of tax avoidance
- *Income Tax Act (Canada)* contains rules requiring certain transactions to be reported to the CRA to target specific tax risk areas

OECD – BEPS Action 12

- Organization for Economic Co-operation & Development (“OECD”)
 - International body with recommendations relating to enactment of mandatory disclosure rules
- *Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (“BEPS”), Action 12*
 - Many such measures have already been implemented in a number of countries with comparable tax systems
 - U.S. & Australia – reporting tax uncertainty in audited F/S
- CRA has stated the existing Cdn tax legislation is not sufficiently robust to address these concerns.

Background – Current Rules

2010 Federal Budget

- Introduced March 2010, enacted June 2013, but applies retroactive to 2011
- Section 237.3 – intended to curtail tax evasion/avoidance
- “Reportable Transaction” – if it constitutes
 1. a “tax avoidance transaction” (or series of transactions); and
 2. has at least 2 of 3 “generic hallmarks”

Background – Current Rules (cont.)

2010 Federal Budget / Enacted June 2013

- “Tax Avoidance Transaction” – any transaction (or series of transactions) that would result in a direct or indirect tax benefit, unless undertaken primarily for *bona fide* purpose other than to obtain the tax benefit
- Does not include acquisition of registered “tax shelter” investment or “flow-through shares”

Reportable Transactions – Hallmarks

Reportable Transactions – at least 2 of 3 “Generic Hallmarks”

1. Promoter/Advisor entitled to fee that is:

- a. Based on the amount of the tax benefit from the transaction;
- b. Contingent upon obtaining tax benefit from the transaction; or
- c. Attributable to the number of persons participating in the transaction or provided access to advice from promoter/advisor about the tax consequences of the transaction

Reportable Transactions – Hallmarks

2. Promoter/Advisor obtains “confidential protection”

- a. Anything that prohibits the disclosure of details or structure to another party or to the Minister of Finance

Reportable Transactions – Hallmarks

3. Taxpayer/Promoter/Advisor has “contractual protection”

- a. Any form of insurance/protection (other than standard prof liab ins)
- b. Protects person against failure of transaction to achieve tax benefit
- c. Pays for/reimburses any exp/fee/tax/penalty in course of tax dispute

Reportable Transactions – Current Rules

- Who Must File & What Form?
 - Form RC312 – Information Return
 - Particular taxpayer who enjoyed the “tax benefit”
 - Any person who entered into the avoidance transaction, or series of txns, for the benefit of such particular taxpayer
 - “Promoters” and “Advisors” participating in transaction
- Deadline to File?
 - June 30th following the calendar year transaction entered
- Failure to File?
 - Penalties and denial of the expected tax benefit

Budget 2021 Proposals

What's New?

- BEPS Action 12 Report suggested Canada's reportable transaction regime resulted in only limited reporting and did not provide for timely notification

Budget 2021 Proposals

Budget 2021 seeks to address shortcomings with series of (proposed) amendments:

1. Changes to reportable transaction rules;
2. New requirement to report “notifiable transactions”
3. New requirement for specified large corps – report “uncertain tax treatments” (“UTT”)
4. Possible extension of normal reassessment period and introduction of penalties

Proposed Reportable Transaction Rule Amendments – 2021

- Proposal #1 – Lower threshold for a particular transaction to be a reportable transaction (“RT”)
 - i.e. require only 1 of 3 hallmarks, instead of at least 2
- Proposal #2 – Revise “avoidance transaction” definition to include if it can be reasonably concluded that one of the main purposes of entering transaction is to obtain a tax benefit

Proposed Reportable Transaction Rule Amendments – 2021 (cont.)

- Proposal #3 – Accelerate reporting required by taxpayer to report transaction with CRA within 45 days contract/transaction date (vs. 6 months after YE)
 - Including reporting by a promoter/advisor
- Proposal #4 – Provide for an exception to reporting requirement for advisors to the extent that solicitor-client privilege applies

Proposed “Notifiable Transaction” Rules

- Budget 2021 proposes to introduce new category of “notifiable transactions”
 - CRA would have authority to designate w/ Dept of Finance
- Includes both transactions that CRA has found to be:
 - (i) abusive; and
 - (ii) “transactions of interest” (potential for tax avoidance or evasion but CRA requires further info)
- Requires reporting w/ CRA within 45 days of transaction
- Similar measures enacted in U.S./UK/Australia/EU/Quebec

Proposed “Uncertain Tax Treatment” Rules

- Budget 2021 proposes to require “specified” large corporate taxpayers to report particular uncertain tax treatments (“UTT”) – due w/ income tax return
- Conditions required:
 1. Cdn tax filer (Cdn corp or non-res corp with PE)
 2. Owns at least C\$50 million in assets (F/S carrying value)
 3. Requires audited F/S prepared in accordance w/ IFRS (or specific GAAP relevant for pubco)
 4. Tax uncertainty reflected in audited F/S (i.e. IFRIC 23/IAS 12 - entity concluded not probable tax authority will accept UTT)

Proposed “Uncertain Tax Treatment” Rules (cont.)

- Penalty for failure to disclose UTT
 - C\$2,000 per week
 - Up to maximum of C\$100,000
- UTT prescribed reporting:
 1. Amount of taxes at issue
 2. Concise description of relevant facts
 3. Tax treatment taken
 4. Whether tax uncertainty relates to a permanent or temporary tax difference

Proposed “Uncertain Tax Treatment” Rules (cont.)

- New proposed rules would overrule FCA – *BP Canada Energy Company v. CNR, 2017 FCA 61*
 - Tax accrual working papers are not “compellable ‘without restriction’”
 - Court’s decision supports that automatic, ongoing access to tax accrual working papers (including UTT’s) amounts to unfair requirement for taxpayer to “self-audit”
 - Under proposed rules, such requirement would be introduced based on accounting standards

Stay Tuned...

- Consultations process included an invitation from stakeholders to provide their comments to Dept of Finance by September 3, 2021.
- Amendments made as a result of the Consultation would apply to taxation years that begin after 2021.
- Amendments would apply to transactions entered into on or after January 1, 2022.



Q & A Session

THANK YOU FOR JOINING!

YOUR SPEAKERS:



Les Fabian CPA, CA

lfabian@davidson-co.com

Tax Partner



Jamie Nguyen CPA, CA

jnguyen@davidson-co.com

Tax Partner



Namir Hallak CPA, CA,
CPA (KS), CGMA

nhallak@davidson-co.com

U.S. Tax Principal



Howard Chang CPA, CGA

hchang@davidson-co.com

Tax Principal



Mark Weston CPA, CA, CBV

mweston@davidson-co.com

Valuations & Advisory Partner

**& Davidson
Company**
CHARTERED PROFESSIONAL ACCOUNTANTS